



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,763	05/13/2005	Masako Tanaka	Q72976	8733
23373	7590	03/09/2009	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			MCCRACKEN, DANIEL	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.				
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			1793	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/09/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/534,763	TANAKA, MASAKO
	Examiner DANIEL C. MCCRACKEN	Art Unit 1793

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 January 2009.  
 2a) This action is FINAL.      2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 28-41 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 28-41 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_  
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  
 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Citation to the Specification will be in the following format: (S. # : ¶/L) where # denotes the page number and ¶/L denotes the paragraph number or line number. Citation to patent literature will be in the form (Inventor # : LL) where # is the column number and LL is the line number. Citation to the pre-grant publication literature will be in the following format (Inventor # : ¶) where # denotes the page number and ¶ denotes the paragraph number.

#### *Response to Arguments*

#### Status of the Application

Claims 1-27 have been cancelled. Claims 34-41 have been added. The amendments will be entered.

#### Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. §§ 101, 111

Claims 9 and 13 have been cancelled, mooting the rejections. Accordingly the rejections are WITHDRAWN.

#### Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. §§ 102-103

Cancellation of Claims 1-27 moots the rejections of those claims. Accordingly, the rejections of those claims are WITHDRAWN. Applicants arguments with respect to the remaining claims have been considered and are persuasive. Accordingly, the rejections are WITHDRAWN.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 30, 33, 35-39, and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The “400 to 600 °C” *and* “600 to 900 °C” language in Claim 30 is not understood. It would appear as if a range of temperatures was contemplated, but as drafted this construction effectively limits the temperature to 600 °C. Claim 39 (which depends indirectly upon Claim 30) implies two discrete steps were intended. As drafted, Claim 39 lacks proper antecedent basis.

Claim 35 (the companion to Claim 34) would appear to have some language omitted, making it an improper Markush group, lacking antecedent basis, or both. Stated differently, the claim would appear to be missing “carbon is a” between “active” and “thermoplastic.”

As to Claim 33, “easily graphitizable carbon” is not understood. Graphitization is not a matter of “ease,” but rather a matter of temperature. If you treat a carbonaceous substance at (approximately) 2500-3000 °C, it will graphitize. Furthermore, as claims 28 and 29 would appear to recite both an intermediate and final product, it is not clear which product is being referred to. Likewise, in Claims 36-38 and 40, “non-graphitizable” is not understood for similar reasons. Carbon, when heated to sufficient temperatures, will graphitize. The Examiner’s previous remarks (see office action dated 9/2/2008) related to whether “hardly” and “non” can be interchanged are also incorporated herein by reference.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The reference teaches each and every limitation of the rejected claims. The pinpoint citations are in no way to be construed as limitations of the teachings of the reference, but rather illustrative of particular instances where the teachings may be found.

Claims 28-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 4,556,547 to Nishino, et al.

With respect to Claim 28 , Nishino teaches “adding an alkaline earth metal compound to a raw material for activated carbon, effecting carbonization/activation by a conventional method, admixing to the resultant activated carbon with a copper compound and an alkali metal compound, either simultaneously or separately, and drying or calcining the mixture.” (Nishino 3: 31-38). As to Claim 29, the Nishino carbonization/activation would result in vaporization of the alkaline compound. *Id.* As to Claim 30, notwithstanding the 112 issues *supra*, Nishino teaches temperatures of 650 and 900 °C. *See generally* (Nishino 10: 44 *et seq.*). As to Claim 31, alkali metal hydroxides are taught. (Nishino 1: 62-63). As to Claim 32, potassium, sodium and cesium are all taught. *Id.* As to Claims 33-35 (and not notwithstanding the 112 issues *supra*), Nishino teaches resins. (Nishino 1: 50).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action. The references cited teach each and every limitation of the rejected claims. The pinpoint citations are in no way to be construed as limitations of the teachings of the reference, but rather illustrative of particular instances where the teachings may be found.

Claims 28-41 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 4,556,547 to Nishino, et al. in view of US 6,094,338 to Hirahara, et al.

The preceding discussion of Nishino accompanying the anticipation rejection *supra* is expressly incorporated herein by reference. Notwithstanding the 112 issues *supra*, with respect to the coating step claimed in Claims 36-41, to the extent Nishino may not teach this step, this reads on the conventional addition of a binder for making capacitors. *See* (Hirahara 10: 23) (addition of polyvinyl alcohol, phenol resin) *and* (Hirahara 10: 45 *et seq*) (heat treatment). This is an obvious expedient to facilitate capacitor/electrode manufacture.

***Conclusion***

All amendments made in response to this Office Action must be accompanied by a pinpoint citation to the Specification (i.e. page and paragraph or line number) to indicate where Applicants are drawing their support.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANIEL C. MCCRACKEN whose telephone number is

(571)272-6537. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9 AM - 6 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley S. Silverman can be reached on (571) 272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Daniel C. McCracken/

Examiner, Art Unit 1793  
Daniel C. McCracken  
nit 1793  
DCM

/Stanley Silverman/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793